

REMARKS

Claims 1-22 are currently pending in this application. Claims 12, 14-16, and 18, which were previously withdrawn, are rejoined as explained on page 2 of the January 16, 2015 Office Action. Claim 14 is amended. Replacement Sheets 9/14 and 10/14 replace original drawing sheets 9/14 and 10/14, and include amendments to Figures 15-18. Paragraph [0087] of the specification is amended. No new matter has been introduced to the application by these amendments.

Examiner Interview

The Applicants' representative thanks the examiner for granting an examiner interview. During the interview, the objection to the specification was discussed. Figures 15-18 and paragraph [0087] have been amended as discussed during the interview. The Applicants' representative thanks the examiner for the courtesies extended during the interview.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-13 and 15-22 were indicated as being allowed. Claim 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, rejections below. Claim 14 is amended to overcome the rejections, and claims 1-22 should now be allowed.

Election/Restrictions

The Examiner acknowledges on page 2 of the January 16, 2015 Office Action that previously withdrawn claims 12, 14-16, and 18 recite limitations of allowed claim 1, and the restriction requirement is withdrawn. Accordingly, claims 12, 14-16, and 18 are rejoined and should now be allowed.

Objection to the Specification

The specification is objected to because claims 1 and 22 recite a lever mounted to a supported body such that the lever rotates about first and second pivots having separate parallel axes. Paragraph [0087] of the specification and Figures 15-18 are amended as discussed during the examiner interview in order to overcome the objection to the specification. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection to the specification is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 14 is amended to overcome the §112, second paragraph, rejections. Accordingly, withdrawal of the §112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 14 is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that an interview will advance prosecution of the application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned to schedule an interview at the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application, including claims 1-22, is in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,
Dal Prà et al.

By Thomas P. Gushue/
Thomas P. Gushue
Registration No. 63,061
tgushue@vklaw.com
Tel: 215-255-9205

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.
United Plaza
30 South 17th Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4009
Telephone: (215) 568-6400
Facsimile: (215) 568-6499
ASV/TPG/vag

Enclosure