

REMARKS

The action objected to claims 2-8 and requested a corrected preamble. The above amendment fixes the preamble. The action objected to claim 8 for ambiguity in its layers and the above amendment cancels claim 8, obviating that rejection.

The action rejected all of the claims for obvious-type double patenting over US 8,252,095. A terminal disclaimer filed herewith addresses this rejection.

The action rejected claims claims 1-4 and 8 over US 7,258,729 to Barsimoto. Barsimoto suggest attaching a steel wool layer to the media upstream of the screens, which is not what is recited in the claims, where the layered media is between the screens and thus downstream. This difference shows good results and differentiates what is claimed from Barsimoto.

According a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Sincerely,

/Stephen B. Schott /
Stephen B. Schott
Registration No. 51492
Schott, P.C.
687 West Lancaster Ave.
Wayne, PA 19087
215.821.8102